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1 INTRODUCTION

Jandakot Airport is leased from the Commonwealth Government by Jandakot Airport Holdings (JAH) and is an important piece of state infrastructure, being Western Australia’s major general aviation airport. The airport covers an area of approximately 622 ha which has been developed over a period of more than 50 years. Of this 622 ha, approximately 119 ha is zoned by JAH as conservation.

This Conservation Management Plan has been prepared to aid in protecting the areas designated in the Jandakot Airport Master Plan as Conservation Precincts (refer to Section 3). The Plan summarises the existing environment within Jandakot Airport, outlines the associated issues and the measurable management actions that can be implemented in both the short and long term. The Plan does not apply to those areas located outside the Conservation Precincts.

Jandakot Airport has a responsibility to aviation business and the community to ensure that infrastructure including the construction and widening of runways, taxiways and aprons is in place to meet aviation demand and ensure the safety, efficiency and regularity of aviation and other traffic on and around the Airport. In 2008/2009 the Airport undertook extensive consultation and obtained approval of the Jandakot Airport Master Plan 2009 for Runway and Taxiway upgrades and a commercial development Precinct.

Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act) approval 2009/4796 (refer Section 2.3 and Appendix A) was granted in March 2010 for the clearing of vegetation in accordance with the Jandakot Airport Master Plan 2009 and the Jandakot Airport Offset Plan.

Jandakot Airport’s overarching legislative framework is the Commonwealth Airports Act 1996. JAH is also required to comply with State Government legislation as far as this legislation does not conflict with the Act. For this reason, issues associated with State legislation are also addressed within this Conservation Management Plan.

This Conservation Management Plan may be amended from time to time in response to new information and results of actions outlined in this document.

2 LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS


2.1 Airports Act 1996

The Airports Act 1996 requires the operator of an airport to prepare an Airport Master Plan (which includes an Environment Strategy) every five years. This Conservation Management Plan complements the approved Jandakot Airport Master Plan 2014.

2.2 Airport (Environment Protection) Regulations 1997

The Airport (Environment Protection) Regulations 1997 requires the development and adoption of a comprehensive environmental management system (EMS). Environmental management at the Airport is the responsibility of Jandakot Airport Holdings. The Jandakot Airport EMS comprises policies and procedures that ensure the protection of the environment within the airport, including preparation of management plans, incident reporting systems, awareness training, auditing, monitoring and reporting within a context of continuous improvement.
2.3 Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999
The Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act) provides for the protection of the environment, especially matters of national environmental significance (NES). Under the EPBC Act, a person must not take action that has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on any matters of NES without approval from the Australian Government Environment Minister.


On 17 April 2009, the Department of Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (DEWHA), (now the Department of the Environment (DOE)) deemed the Action 'Controlled'. The proposal was then assessed on Preliminary Documentation as per s 95A of the EPBC Act. EPBC referral 2009/4796 was initially approved by the Minister in March 2010. Conditions of approval were later amended and approved by DoE in April 2014. A copy of the approval is included as Appendix A.

This Conservation Environment Management Plan has been prepared to satisfy Condition 6 of EPBC 2009/4796 approval.

3 CONSERVATION PRECINCTS

3.1 Conservation Precincts
The Conservation Precincts are to be managed in accordance with the Jandakot Airport Master Plan 2014. The Precincts are listed below and are illustrated in Figure 1:

- Precinct 1A: Proposed Conservation, 48 ha
- Precinct 1B: Existing Conservation, 31 ha
- Precinct 2A: Existing Conservation, 29 ha
- Precinct 2B: Existing Conservation, 11 ha

3.2 Environmentally Significant Areas
Jandakot Airport Master Plan 2014 identifies Conservation Precincts as areas of environmental significance (excluding approved development under the EPBC 2009/4796 approval). This is due to the presence of banksia woodland, which provides foraging habitat for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoos (*Calyptorhynchus latirostris*) and the presence of the Grand Spider Orchid (*Caladenia huegelii*) in Precinct 1A and to a lesser extent 1B.

3.3 Precincts 7 and 8
Precinct 7 is currently owned by the Crown and is reserved for management with the City of Canning. Precinct 8 is owned freehold by the City of Canning. A proposal by Jandakot Airport to rehabilitate Precincts 7 and 8 in accordance with Conditions 4a and 5 of EPBC 2009/4796 approval was not accepted by the City of Canning, and as such, these Precincts are no longer a component of the Jandakot Airport Conservation Management Plan.

3.4 Ken Hurst Park
To date, the City of Melville has not agreed to incorporate neighbouring Ken Hurst Park into this Conservation Management Plan (as originally intended and as described in previous versions of this plan). As such, Ken Hurst Park is not a component of this Conservation Management Plan. However, JAH will continue to liaise with the City of Melville when
required on matters relating to the consistent management of Ken Hurst Park and neighbouring Jandakot Airport Conservation Precincts.

4 NATIVE VEGETATION MANAGEMENT

4.1 Vegetation Communities
The results of vegetation mapping (Figure 2) indicate that the Jandakot Airport Conservation Precincts are predominantly a combination of woodlands of Banksia attenuata, Banksia menziesii, Banksia ilicifolia and Melaleuca preissiana.

The vegetation communities on the Swan Coastal Plain have been described by Gibson et al (1994). Jandakot Airport’s Conservation Precincts support three vegetation communities:

- H2 Open woodland of Banksia attenuata and Banksia menziesii
- J1 Woodland of Banksia ilicifolia with Banksia spp.
- K2 Woodland of Melaleuca preissiana

4.2 Vegetation Condition
Vegetation (or ‘Bushland’) Condition is influenced by edge effects from airport operations, including tracks and firebreaks, the presence of weeds, the effects of grazing by herbivores (native and feral) and Phytophthora cinnamomi (dieback). The condition of the vegetation within the conservation areas of the airport was assessed by Mattiske Consulting in 2001 and again in 2006, and was found to vary from Excellent to Degraded (Figure 3).

Bushland condition was reassessed by Ecoscape in 2011 (Ecoscape 2011), and whilst a different scale of measurement was used, the results indicated that changes in vegetation condition between 2001 and 2011 had been negligible (Figure 4). Overall most (96%) of the grid points were in Very Good to Excellent condition. Nearly 3% of the grid points were ‘Good’. Only 1% of the grid points were ‘Degraded’ and none were ‘Completely Degraded’.

The ‘Degraded’ vegetation is located within the dieback impacted area of Precinct 2A.

Bushland Condition is a useful assessment to determine that the habitat is being maintained for significant fauna and flora species, particularly in the absence of species-specific monitoring.

4.3 Weed Control
The Jandakot Airport Weed Management Plan (Appendix B) is a component of this Jandakot Airport Conservation Management Plan.

The Weed Management Plan establishes goals and objectives, and prioritises responses to the control of weeds based on the threat posed by each species.

The Jandakot Airport Weed Management Plan sets a target of maintaining weed cover at or below 20% with stable or declining weed diversity. Further information associated with weed management and control measures is detailed in Appendix B.

4.4 Phytophthora cinnamomi Dieback Control
A Dieback Management Plan has been prepared for Jandakot Airport and forms a component of the Jandakot Airport Conservation Management Plan. Refer to Appendix C for details associated with dieback assessment, treatment and prevention.

4.5 Rehabilitation and Revegetation Guidelines
The need to undertake rehabilitation or revegetation within the Conservation Precincts of Jandakot Airport may be triggered by the following scenarios:

- Bushfires (where natural regeneration has not been successful).
• Impacts of weeds on vegetation condition are not successfully managed by weed control (i.e. areas defined as degraded in 2011 bushland condition survey show further decline in subsequent 5-yearly survey despite weed treatment).

• Impacts of dieback on vegetation condition are not successfully managed by phosphite and other dieback management measures (i.e. areas defined as degraded in 2011 survey show further decline in subsequent 5-yearly survey despite dieback treatment).

• The closure of surplus or non-essential firebreaks and access tracks.

• Verge impacts from the construction of new roads as detailed in Master Plan 2014.

• The creation of wildlife corridors.

To date, no areas within the Jandakot Airport Conservation Precincts have been identified as requiring rehabilitation or revegetation. However, in the event that revegetation is required to be undertaken at some future point, the Rehabilitation and Revegetation Guidelines (Appendix D) have been developed to assist in planning. As the rehabilitation and revegetation requirements of a specific area will be determined by many factors, including the vegetation community in which works are to occur and the cause of the vegetation condition loss (e.g. dieback, bushfire etc.), it is not possible to develop a site-specific revegetation plan in advance.

The Rehabilitation and Revegetation Guidelines (Appendix D) will be updated every 5 years to coincide with the Conservation Management Plan review.

4.6 Monitoring Regimes and Survey Methods

Bushland Condition has been determined to be the most appropriate and practical measure for ensuring that the vegetation within Jandakot Airport’s Conservation Precincts is maintained appropriately in order to provide suitable habitat for significant fauna and flora species.

Bushland Condition of the Jandakot Airport Conservation Precincts will be reassessed every 5 years. If consultants undertaking weed quadrat surveys or dieback assessments conclude that there have been significant unexpected detrimental changes (as determined by scientific analysis), vegetation condition will be reassessed at the earliest possible opportunity in the affected area(s).

Further monitoring and survey regimes associated with maintaining bushland condition are detailed within the Weed Management Plan (Appendix B) and Dieback Management Plan (Appendix C).

4.7 Thresholds for Triggering Further Management Intervention

Bushland Condition will be maintained at levels of “Good” or above as defined by the modified Keighery Condition Scale for Jandakot Airport (Ecoscape 2011) or an equivalent comparable scale. Bushland condition that is assessed as being “Degraded” or “Completely Degraded” will trigger management intervention. The specific management intervention actions will be dependent on the primary cause of the impacted bushland condition, but may include weed control, dieback treatment, revegetation or a combination of the three.

Further details on thresholds for triggering management intervention associated with maintaining bushland condition are detailed within the Weed Management Plan (Appendix B), Dieback Management Plan (Appendix C) and Bushland Rehabilitation and Revegetation Guidelines (Appendix D).
5 ORCHID MANAGEMENT

5.1 Mapping
Two Endangered species pursuant to the EPBC Act and gazetted as Declared Rare Flora pursuant to the Wildlife Conservation Act 1950 (WC Act) have previously been recorded within Jandakot Airport. These are:

- Drakaea elastica (Glossy-leaved Hammer Orchid)
- Caladenia huegelii (Grand Spider Orchid).

No Priority Flora species have been recorded within Jandakot Airport.

5.2 Drakaea elastica - Survey Results and Future Requirements
Drakaea elastica is known to occur on the Swan Coastal Plan in low-lying areas, adjacent to winter swamps (Hoffman and Brown 1992) and may also occur in isolated patches on deep sandy soils in Banksia Woodlands. Surveys of the site in 2003 by the Department of Parks and Wildlife (DPAW, formerly the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC)) identified four D. elastica plants in Precinct 4 near the Conservation Precinct 1A boundary. Following a survey undertaken by Mattiske Consulting (2010b), it was concluded that “despite extensive searches from trained and experienced botanists, no recordings of D. elastica were made in the 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 field searches. Therefore further searches appear unwarranted at this juncture”.

Given the species is primarily associated with low-lying damp areas associated with winter wet depressions, swamps and water courses (habitat that is not present in the area where plants were initially identified), it is possible that the species may have been misidentified in the 2003 DPAW survey and may never have been present on Jandakot Airport. In the event that D. elastica is opportunistically identified in the Jandakot Airport Conservation Precincts at any time, the plants will be recorded and Botanic Parks and Gardens Authority (BGPA) or other orchid experts will be consulted to determine the most appropriate ongoing management and monitoring requirements. The CMP will be appropriately updated if required.

5.3 Caladenia huegelii - Survey Results and Future Requirements
A large number of surveys have been carried out for Caladenia huegelii at Jandakot Airport. These include surveys by Mattiske Consulting in 2001, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 (Mattiske 2001, 2006, 2007, 2010a), the then Department of Conservation and Land Management (CALM) in 2005 and Cardno BSD consultants in 2005 (Cardno BSD 2005). This survey effort identified locations of approximately 223 C. huegelii plants on the Jandakot Airport site. The majority of occurrences were found in Conservation Precincts 1A and 1B. A single orchid was located in Precinct 2B.

Quadrat Monitoring Program
The timing of C. huegelii field work/monitoring is aligned with the peak flowering period, which varies each year in response to rainfall but typically occurs in mid-September.

In 2011, BGPA established three monitoring quadrats in Precinct 1A. In 2012, Mattiske Consulting were engaged to undertake the annual quadrat monitoring program until 2015 when the BGPA Integrated Research Program was due to be completed (see Section 5.4 below). The resulting annual monitoring reports to date (Mattiske 2012, 2013, 2014) have been provided to BGPA for review and consideration along with their wider integrated research program. Although the final consolidated quadrat monitoring report is in preparation, sufficient information already exists to make some preliminary conclusions as detailed below. The final report will take into account the findings of the BGPA research (see Section 5.4) and BGPA researchers will be consulted on the conclusions and recommendations within. Should the recommendations include any management actions
(or thresholds for triggering further management actions) that warrant amendment of the CMP, the CMP will be amended within 6 months of the monitoring report being finalised.

Within each defined 20m x 20m quadrat, each individual *C. huegelii* plant was identified with a labelled pin tag and GPS coordinates were recorded. In 2011 33 individuals were tagged within the three monitoring quadrats. Details of plant growth, herbivory impact, and flowering status were recorded for each individual. Several plants were removed from the quadrats when quadrat boundaries were accurately defined in 2012, resulting in 25 plants being monitored each year for the full 5-year monitoring program. By the completion of 2012 monitoring 74 individual plants had been identified, culminating in 85 plants in the 5th year of monitoring. Assuming all plants within the quadrat were identified by the 5th season and no recruitment was occurring, it could be calculated that with the exception of 2011 when quadrats were established, between 65-80% of all known plants emerged each year. This suggests that a large proportion of a population would be emergent each spring, and the absence of individuals is likely to suggest the absence of the species in a particular area.

Of the 85 plants, 25 were continuously monitored from 2011 to 2015 and revealed that:

- 8 (32%) emerged each year
- 10 (40%) emerged for 4 of the 5 years
- 3 (12%) emerged for 3 of the 5 years
- 2 (8%) emerged for 2 of the 5 years
- 2 (8%) emerged in 2011 and have not been observed since.

Of plants monitored over the five years that were found to enter a period of prolonged dormancy (i.e. not emerge as either a leaf or leaf and flower in winter/spring) but re-emerge in a subsequent year, the majority (79%) re-emerged after 1 year. Only one individual was observed to re-emerge after more than two years of dormancy.

Flower emergence ranged from 31-41% of the total population within quadrats, with 46-56% of the emerged population flowering in any given year. Pollination success rates were low or totally absent, which is consistent with the findings from BGPA research that the pollinator wasp is not present in any bushland remnants in urban Perth.

A high incidence of herbivory was detected during the surveys, with many suffering slight to moderate forms of leaf grazing. In order to better understand the impacts of grazing, an herbivore-proof fence was installed around Quadrat 1 prior to leaf emergence in 2013. Grazing pressure appeared stable over the years, with the exception of Quadrat 1 showing a reduction after the installation of exclusion fencing.

Despite undertaking thorough searches in both 2011 and 2012, a sufficiently large enough population of *C. huegelii* was not found to enable the establishment of a monitoring quadrat within Precinct 1B.

**Herbivory Impacts**

Considering the potential grazing impacts noted during the annual monitoring of orchid quadrats, JAH will utilise motion-sensitive cameras outside of the enclosed quadrat to attempt to determine which herbivores are likely responsible for the grazing impacts noted in the annual quadrat monitoring. Works will be undertaken by either JAH Environment staff or a consultant in 2016. The outcome of the monitoring will be discussed with BGPA (or the relevant research institution or consulting expert) and recommendations for future applicable monitoring and/or management actions will be sought.

**Caladenia huegelii** Translocation and Monitoring

In 2010 BGPA attempted to salvage approximately 40 *C. huegelii* plants located within Precincts 3, 4 and 5 prior to clearing and development (BGPA 2010). Twenty plants were located in the initial search in June 2010, with an additional 4 plants found in August 2010.
resulting in 24 plants being located and removed. The salvaged *C. huegelii* plants have been maintained within a purpose-built glasshouse at the BGPA facility in Kings Park.

In 2014 BGPA determined that sufficient information had been obtained to ensure the likely success of the translocation of genetic progeny from the salvaged individuals into Precinct 1A at Jandakot Airport. Thirty five individuals were translocated into the fenced 20m x 20m orchid monitoring quadrat. The fenced location was chosen in order to exclude the impacts of herbivory (primarily quenda, macropods and rabbits) on subsequent survival. Plants were labelled in the field with a pin tag and GPS coordinates recorded on the Jandakot Airport *Caladenia* database.

JAH Environment staff will monitor the translocated individuals annually for survival (i.e. annual emergence, noting not all plants emerge every year) and flowering status (noting not all emergent plants flower). This data will be recorded and provided to BGPA (or the relevant research institution undertaking ongoing research). Unless advised by BGPA (or the relevant research institution undertaking ongoing research) that the annual monitoring is no longer required, annual monitoring of translocated plants will continue until 2018 when ongoing requirements will be re-assessed during the next comprehensive review of the CMP.

**Jandakot Airport *Caladenia* Database**

Each individual *C. huegelii* plant at Jandakot Airport is identified in the field with a labelled pin tag (located approximately 20cm to the south of the plant to avoid tuber damage) and GPS coordinates recorded on a database managed by the JAH Environment Manager. A photographic record is also be taken when a flower is present in order to definitively confirm the species identification (noting *C. longicauda*, *C. discoides* and *C. paludosa*, which have been found in several locations in both Precinct 1A and 1B, have a similar appearance to *C. huegelii* in the sterile leaf-only stage).

In 2012 and 2013, attempts to locate the plants identified in previous surveys (of which only a proportion had been previously identified with stakes or pin tags) were made using known GPS coordinates. In addition, searches were undertaken for new individuals that had not been recorded in previous surveys. Following the completion of spring flowering in 2013, all data gathered during the previous two years was used to compile new *C. huegelii* location mapping at Jandakot Airport (Figure 5). There were 351 confirmed and suspected (i.e. yet to be confirmed via photographic record of flower) *C. huegelii* individuals located within Precincts 1A and 1B. A single suspected plant within Precinct 2B flowered in 2013 and has been confirmed as *C. paludosa*.

From 2014 onwards, new *C. huegelii* plants opportunistically observed are identified via labelled pin tags and GPS locations added to the database. Researchers from the BGPA Integrated Research Program have advised that a population census should be undertaken within 5 years, and noting that as not all plants emerge in a single year, the census should occur throughout two subsequent leaf emergence and flowering periods. Methodology will be similar to that used in the 2012-13 census. All known plant locations (as recorded on the database) will be visited to record the presence or absence of individual plants, and additional searches of the bushland will be conducted for any new individuals that have not been recorded in previous surveys.

**Ongoing *Caladenia huegelii* Management**

In addition to the surveys and monitoring detailed above, the following policies will contribute towards the ongoing protection and management of the species at Jandakot Airport:

- Access to Conservation Precincts containing *C. huegelii* will be restricted to staff, contractors and researchers with a valid reason for entry.
- Airport staff and contractors working in and around the populations of the orchids will be made aware of their significance and the need to avoid disturbance to the plants.
and their supporting habitat through either CEMPs, inductions, toolbox meetings, signage or other relevant methods.

- Prohibit the use of controlled burning as a fuel reduction technique in the Jandakot Airport Bushfire Management Plan unless sound evidence can be obtained from orchid specialists to demonstrate that the intensity and season of a proposed burn is not detrimental to the survival of the population.

Additional management requirements identified as a result of the Integrated Research Program (see Section 5.4) are detailed below:

- As studies have shown the pollinating wasp has a preference for nectar of open flowered members of Myrtaceae, perimeter planting (and where required, rehabilitation) will include a selection of these plants amongst other suitable species.

- Prior to commencement of peak flowering season, JAH will confirm the requirement for seed collection with BGPA (or the relevant research institution). If seed is required for research or seed-banking, JAH Environment staff or a consultant will undertake hand pollination of the plants located within the fenced orchid monitoring quadrat. Once seed pods mature, they will be collected and forwarded to the research institution. Hand pollination (if required) will continue until 2018 when ongoing requirements will be re-assessed during the next comprehensive review of the CMP.

5.4 Integrated Research Program for Caladenia huegelii

Consistent with Condition 6e of EPBC 2009/4796 approval and the Jandakot Airport Offset Plan, a research proposal titled “Integrated Conservation and Translocation Research Program for C. huegelii” was developed by orchid specialists at the Kings Park Botanic Gardens and Parks Authority (BGPA 2010), and funded by Jandakot Airport. The five-year program was linked with existing research being undertaken as part of the Roe 7 Highway development. A key aspect of the program was the development of a state-wide conservation initiative for C. huegelii. The Key Project Outcomes of the research were:

1. Genetic fingerprints of targeted C. huegelii plants and indicative rare and threatened taxa (benchmarked as appropriate with common spider orchid taxa for comparative purposes).

2. Determination of key individuals or groups of plants considered genetically significant.

3. Optimisation of the propagation of orchids from seed through ex situ and in vitro methodologies.

4. Optimisation of the reintroduction and survival of orchid seedlings to field sites through scientific research and monitoring.

5. Ex situ conservation of genetically significant material (orchid seed and mycorrhizal fungi), identified from molecular genetics work.

6. Development of evolutionary studies and conservation initiatives for Caladenia pollination agents: thynnid wasps and host plants.


8. Collection and maintenance of the rescued plant material undertaken in summer/autumn 10/11 with plants to be maintained as a seed orchard for conservation production of seed for both reintroduction and long-term seed banking.

This research proposal was submitted to DOE in June 2010 and approved in November that year. The research program began in 2010 and was completed in 2015 (BGPA 2015). The key findings of the research are summarised in Appendix E.
5.5 Thresholds for Triggering Further Management Intervention
Following the release of the final report and key findings from BGPA, JAH met with research co-ordinator Professor Kingsley Dixon to determine future monitoring requirements and management actions. These are detailed in Section 5.3. Thresholds for triggering future management intervention are difficult to determine, given many of the resulting recommended actions relate to further research and management of the species from a research and recovery perspective and are not directly applicable or achievable by land managers responsible for discrete populations, such as at Jandakot Airport.

The key triggering threshold in terms of how the current Jandakot Airport *C. huegelii* population is being managed will be directly linked to the 2017/18 (or earlier) census. Taking into account the absence of the pollinator wasp (i.e. no natural recruitment is anticipated) and the likelihood that some of the 351 individuals identified in the 2012/13 census are likely to eventually flower and be identified as species other than *C. huegelii*, a drop in the population estimate is anticipated. However, if results of the census reveal that the population of confirmed and suspected *C. huegelii* has fallen by more than 25% below its 2013 estimate, then the population can be considered to be in significant decline and the relevant orchid experts will be consulted regarding necessary management actions to be implemented. Should this eventuate, the timing will coincide with the planned CMP review in 2018 and management recommendations can be incorporated.

As research continues within the scientific community, JAH will continue to liaise with research institutions and orchid experts and review thresholds and management requirements for intervention if new information, applicable to management of *C. huegelii* at Jandakot Airport, becomes available.

5.6 Road Alignment Precinct 1B
To ensure safe and efficient transport links to Jandakot Airport, additional transport links to the Perth Metropolitan Region are essential and therefore included in the approved Master Plan 2014. These road links are important for emergency access and for egress for emergency services such as the Royal Flying Doctor Service and the Police Airwing.

Although the alignment of the East Link Road is indicated in the Jandakot Airport Master Plan 2014, the final location will be resolved in discussion with the Western Australian Department of Planning, the Western Australian Department of Transport, Main Roads Western Australia and the City of Canning. Should the road alignment within the airport change in order to align with the State’s preferred route to the east, Jandakot Airport Holdings will liaise with DOE to determine whether additional approvals are required.

The East Link Road, as detailed in Master Plan 2014, will not directly impact *C. huegelii* plants. The Jandakot Airport Caladenia database will be searched prior to the commencement of any works to confirm the absence of *C. huegelii* plants in the project area (in the event new plants have been located in the interim). Noting that BGPA has already had proven success with *C. huegelii* salvage and 100% ex-situ survival rates after two years, it is proposed that any plants likely to be impacted will be salvaged and kept ex-situ at a research facility until such time as they (or their progeny) can be successfully transferred back into Precinct 1A. If plants are salvaged from within a dieback infested area, the research institution will be consulted regarding appropriate translocation methods, which may include direct translocation into a dieback infested site.

6 FAUNA MANAGEMENT
A fauna assessment was carried out at Jandakot Airport in 2002 by Bamford Consulting Ecologists and a follow up fauna survey was undertaken by ENV in September 2008 (ENV 2009a). Numerous species-specific surveys have since been undertaken to meet EPBC 2009/4796 approval conditions. The information from these sources has been used to produce the following section.
6.1 Habitat Types
There are two broad fauna habitats in the Jandakot Airport project area: Banksia Woodland and Paperbark (Melaleuca) Woodland.

6.1.1 Banksia Woodland
The Banksia woodland is considered a high-value fauna habitat. This habitat consists of open Banksia Woodland over a medium well-developed shrubland, with scattered grasses and herbs over a dense leaf litter layer. Banksia species provide a range of microhabitats for fauna to exploit, including exfoliating bark, deep cracks or fissures. Dead fall timber quickly rots or is broken down by termites, providing ideal fossorial habitat for skinks, small burrowing elapid snakes and blind snakes.

6.1.2 Paperbark (Melaleuca) Woodland
The Paperbark woodland is considered a medium-value fauna habitat, as it provides a smaller range of microhabitats, with little mid-storey or low-storey vegetation. Invasive weed species are present in these areas. However, this habitat is not well represented in the project area, as it is found only in a few low lying areas. In these Melaleuca woodlands, areas with thick ground storey vegetation are important refuge habitat for the quenda. These low-lying areas are often subjected to flooding in times of heavy rainfall, thereby providing potential habitat for frogs.

6.2 Species of Significance

6.2.1 Carnaby’s Black-Cockatoo
Carnaby’s Black-Cockatoo (Calyptrorhynchus latirostris) has been recorded at Jandakot Airport and is listed as Endangered under the EPBC Act. Western Wildlife (2011a) were engaged to undertake a Carnaby’s Black-Cockatoo survey in 2011. The aims of the survey were to:

- Identify the presence of Carnaby’s Black-Cockatoo in the conservation areas
- Identify the main foods available for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo in the conservation areas
- Identify the extent of Carnaby’s Black-Cockatoo foraging and roosting habitat in the conservation areas.

The majority of the Conservation Precincts are Banksia woodland, and this is foraging habitat for Carnaby’s Black-Cockatoo (see Figure 6). The main food plants identified from the conservation zones were B. attenuata, B. menziesii, B. ilicifolia and Eucalyptus marginata, and there was evidence of cockatoos foraging on B. attenuata and B. menziesii. No Carnaby’s Black-Cockatoos were recorded in the Conservation Precincts during the 2011 survey, though they are likely to be seasonal visitors.

No roosting habitat was identified in the Conservation Precincts, but there is one area at Jandakot Airport that has supported roosting birds in the past. About 100 Carnaby’s Black-Cockatoos were recorded in a stand of tall eucalypts (Corymbia citriodora) on Eagle Drive in 2008 (ENV 2009a). This area is regularly inspected by Jandakot Airport staff and no roosting has been observed in recent years. The area was monitored annually during the Great Cocky Count 2013-2015 (see below) and no birds have been recorded using the site.

The Conservation Precincts at Jandakot Airport represent a local foraging resource for Carnaby’s Black-Cockato. The lack of records of birds during the 2011 study highlight the variability of the cockatoo population in the area. When foraging, birds may roost in the large trees on Eagle Drive, though cockatoos are highly mobile and may roost at other sites in the surrounding area.
**Monitoring Regimes and Survey Methods**

Unlike other less mobile bird species, Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo is widespread and its range covers many different land tenures and vegetation types. Therefore, given the comprehensive knowledge already obtained from previous Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo surveys at Jandakot Airport, future survey and monitoring effort is best directed at methods that contribute to wider studies aimed at monitoring the species over a wider area.

Jandakot Airport will continue ongoing monitoring of Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo by participation in the Great Cocky Count, which is undertaken annually. The Great Cocky Count is essentially a regional census and provides a snapshot count of the population and provides information about use of known roosting sites. Methodology will be according to that specified by the coordinators the Great Cocky Count. The potential roosting site identified by Western Wildlife (2011a) at Jandakot Airport will be monitored. In the event that the Great Cocky Count is no longer undertaken, relevant organisations will be consulted to determine an appropriate alternative monitoring program.

**Thresholds for Triggering Further Management Intervention**

Management for maintenance of Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo habitat and populations will be primarily through actions relating to other sections of this plan, including:

- Vegetation management (refer Section 4.7), primarily via weed control (Appendix B) and Dieback Control (Appendix C). Key thresholds include maintaining Bushland Condition at levels of "Good" or above, and restricting weed cover to a maximum of 20%.

- Rehabilitation/revegetation of habitats (Appendix D).

It is anticipated these actions will be adequate to maintain habitat for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo within the airport's Conservation Precincts and no species-specific thresholds for triggering management intervention are warranted at this stage.

The CMP will be reviewed to incorporate updated thresholds should the findings of ongoing research, such as the Great Cocky Count, assist in identifying relevant species-specific thresholds that can be applied at Jandakot Airport.

### 6.2.2 Forest Red-Tailed Black-Cockatoo

The Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoo (*Calyptorhynchus banksii naso*) is listed as Vulnerable under the EPBC Act and Schedule 1 under the WC Act. This species was common in the south-west of Western Australia, but is now uncommon to rare because of habitat destruction (Johnstone & Storr 1998). The Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoo inhabits woodland and open shrubland areas near water, feeding mainly on Marri seeds (Simpson & Day 2004). This cockatoo is a seasonal breeder, with individuals roosting in loose groups and nesting in large tree hollows (Johnstone & Storr 1998). The Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoo was recorded on site during the 2008 survey when one small flock was observed flying over the north-eastern corner of the site, but no animals were seen feeding or roosting on the site.

Whilst Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoos may occasionally visit the Conservation Precincts of Jandakot Airport, it is not the species' preferred habitat. The Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoo inhabits the dense Jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata*), Karri (*E. diversicolor*) and Marri (*Corymbia calophylla*) forests receiving more than 600mm average rainfall annually (Saunders et al. 1985; Saunders & Ingram 1995), mainly in the hilly interior (Johnstone et al. 2013) as shown in Figure 7. Although most records are in Jarrah-Marri forests, the subspecies has been observed in a range of other forest and woodland types, including Blackbutt (*E. patens*), Wandoon (*E. wandoon*), Tuart (*E. gomphocephala*), Albany Blackbutt, Yate (*E. cornuta*) and Flooded Gum (*E. rudis*) (Abbott 1998a, 1998b).
Monitoring Regimes and Survey Methods

In the absence of core habitat, no ongoing monitoring is warranted. However, Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoos observed opportunistically during Carnaby’s Black-Cockatoo surveys will be recorded.

Thresholds for Triggering Further Management Intervention

In the absence of core habitat, specifying thresholds for triggering further management intervention within this plan is not warranted at this stage. The findings of ongoing research targeted at Carnaby’s Black-Cockatoo, such as the Great Cocky Count, which should also capture Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoo observations, is expected to confirm the relevance or otherwise of this species to airport conservation management. If established as relevant, this research is also expected to assist in identifying any required thresholds and triggers for management intervention for this species.

6.2.3 Quenda

The quenda (*Isoodon obesulus fusciventer*) is classified as Priority 5 by DPAW. This mammal typically seeks daytime refuge from predators in very thick ground-storey vegetation, usually associated with swamps or damplands (Strahan 1995). Quenda are likely to occur in all native vegetation at Jandakot Airport, as well as in any densely planted gardens around airport buildings.

Western Wildlife were engaged to undertake a quenda survey in 2011 and again in 2014 (Western Wildlife 2012a, 2015a). The aims of these surveys were to:

- Identify the presence and distribution of quenda in the conservation areas
- Characterise the quenda habitat in the conservation areas
- Provide recommendations on future management of quenda
- Recommend a monitoring regime for quenda in Conservation Precincts.

Surveys were conducted in October 2011 and October 2014 in Conservation Precincts 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B and 6/6A. The studies involved trapping quenda at eight sites. Each site consisted of ten cage traps open for a total of four nights.

Quenda potentially occur in all native vegetation at the airport (Figure 8). Fifteen individuals (plus 6 recaptures) were trapped during the 2011 study whilst 42 individuals (plus 15 recaptures) were trapped in 2014. In 2014 quenda were trapped in all Conservation Precincts, whereas in 2011 no quenda were trapped from Precinct 1A and Precinct 2B. Quenda were found to favour low-lying areas with dense understorey vegetation. No quenda captured in 2011 were recaptured in 2014, which is not surprising given they have a life span of around three to four years. The population increase between 2011 and 2014 is likely to be a reflection of climatic variation, whereby conditions that create greater productivity (i.e. habitat and food sources) support more quenda. Considering quenda have the capability to breed prolifically in good conditions (all females captured in 2014 had pouch young) the population size is anticipated to fluctuate from season to season and year to year, depending on conditions. The most individuals were trapped in Conservation Precinct 1B, possibly because the dense vegetation in this area provides good habitat.

Management recommendations to protect quenda include:

- Maintain fox control in quenda habitat, as foxes prey on young quenda (refer Appendix F).
- Where possible allow movement of quenda between the inside and outside of the fence, to avoid the fenced population becoming genetically isolated (refer Appendix H).
- Capture and relocate quenda from large bushland areas prior to undertaking clearing.
Monitoring Regimes and Survey Methods

Quenda will be monitored passively via the use of motion-sensitive cameras to confirm their continued presence within the Conservation Precincts using methods similar to that applied by Western Wildlife (2015a) in the 2014 monitoring survey. This equates to 6 motion-sensitive cameras, each deployed for a minimum of 4 days to achieve a minimum of 576 monitoring hours. The cameras will be located in all 4 Conservation Precincts with specific locations being as close as practicably possible to the locations previously utilised by Western Wildlife (2015a). Monitoring will occur at least triennially, with the next monitoring event to occur in spring 2017. Monitoring will be undertaken by JAH Environment staff, with advice sought from fauna experts/consultants if required. If passive monitoring reveals the absence of quenda within a Conservation Precinct, a fauna expert/consultant will be engaged to investigate further (see below Thresholds for Triggering Management Intervention).

Thresholds for Triggering Further Management Intervention

Maintaining quenda populations at Jandakot Airport is a function of maintaining quenda habitat (Western Wildlife 2015a). Maintenance of quenda habitat is primarily through actions relating to other sections of this plan, including:

- Vegetation management (refer Section 4.7), primarily via weed control (Appendix B) and Dieback Control (Appendix C). Key thresholds include maintaining Bushland Condition at levels of “Good” or above, and restricting weed cover to a maximum of 20%.
- Rehabilitation/revegetation of habitats (Appendix D).
- Feral animal control; maintaining or reducing on-site predators or competitors (Appendix F).
- Fencing strategy; to minimise risk of road deaths (Appendix H).

The absence of quenda within Conservation Precincts during passive surveys may trigger the need to engage a fauna expert/consultant to investigate further. This may involve additional surveys or monitoring and potentially include recommended management actions in addition to those listed above.

6.2.4 Western Brush Wallaby

The Western Brush Wallaby (Macropus irma) is listed as Priority 4 by the DPAW. Although it has decreased in range, its abundance has increased within its remaining range due to fox control (Woinarski et al. 2014). The optimum habitat for this species is open forest or woodland, particularly open seasonally-wet flats with low grasses and open scrubby thickets. Suitable habitat for this species occurs broadly throughout the native vegetation of the airport.

Western Wildlife conducted a Western Brush Wallaby survey in April 2011 (Western Wildlife 2011b) and again in spring 2014 (Western Wildlife 2015b). The aims of these surveys were to:

- Identify the presence and distribution of wallabies in the conservation areas
- Characterise the wallaby habitat in the conservation areas
- Review existing wallaby management strategies and thresholds for triggering further management intervention.

The studies consisted of recording all observations of wallabies (including those captured on motion-sensitive cameras) and carrying out transects to calculate the density of wallabies in the conservation areas.
Wallabies potentially occur in all bushland habitats present at the airport (Figure 9). Exclusion fencing undertaken in 2014 to prevent macropods accessing the aircraft movement areas has resulted in the wallabies being confined to Conservation Precincts 1A and 1B, 2A, 6, portions of 2B and bushland approved for clearing for the fourth runway and extension of runway 12/30.

The population density of wallabies at Jandakot Airport was estimated to be between 0.24 and 0.3 wallabies per hectare in 2011 and between 0.24 and 0.33 wallabies per hectare in 2014. These results indicate a higher density than Whiteman Park, where the wallabies have been calculated at 0.16 per hectare (Bamford and Bamford 1999). Of note in 2014, no wallabies were observed in Precinct 1A during the transects although they were recorded on the motion-sensitive cameras. Noting Precinct 1A is the only Conservation Precinct with a macropod connectivity (via a stock fence) to neighbouring bushland (i.e. Ken Hurst Park) it is suggested that:

- Wallabies move between Jandakot Airport and Ken Hurst Park, possibly preferring to shelter in Ken Hurst Park during the day when transects were conducted
- As Western Grey Kangaroos (*Macropus fuliginosus*) also populate Precinct 1A and Ken Hurst Park, the potential exists for competition between the species (Wann and Bell 1997), potentially resulting in fewer wallabies in areas where kangaroos are numerous.

Whilst Western Brush Wallabies are identified as an environmental value to be managed within this CMP (which is certainly the case in Conservation Precincts physically isolated by fencing from aircraft movement areas), they are also recognised as posing a high risk to aircraft and personnel in air movement areas (refer also to Overabundant Native Species Section within Appendix F, Feral Animal Management Plan). Jandakot Airport controls macropods in air movement areas using methods of exclusion, deterrents and harassment.

Exclusion (primarily through fencing or trapping and relocation) is the preferred approach. In 2014 prior to the wallaby survey, additional exclusion fencing was erected to separate large areas of bushland from aircraft movement areas, with the wallabies remaining within smaller unfenced areas being mustered into the larger fenced areas. As clearing for development progresses, JAH will continue to review the fencing requirements in line with the Wildlife Fencing and Underpass Strategy.

A Translocation Plan for wallabies displaced by approved clearing has been developed and approved by DPAW. The plan was prepared following collaboration between JAH, DPAW, Murdoch University and the University of Western Australia. The associated research project, which commenced in 2015, included various trapping trials, capture and offsite relocation of wallabies, and post-translocation monitoring. The Translocation Plan also addresses the establishment of a future fauna corridor between Precinct 2A and neighbouring Jandakot Regional Park’s Acourt Reserve to allow for the dispersion of remaining wallabies throughout a larger area of bushland.

Lethal control measures are rarely employed to manage the risks posed by wallabies to aircraft safety. JAH will continue to liaise with DPAW in order to assess the problem and obtain the necessary permits should wallabies ever breach exclusion fencing and access areas where they pose an unacceptable risk to air safety/human lives that cannot be mitigated by other means.

**Monitoring Regimes and Survey Methods**

Western Wildlife (2015b) considered future monitoring and thresholds for triggering further management intervention. It was concluded that following the management recommendations below (see Thresholds for Triggering Future Management Intervention) is likely to protect wallabies and wallaby habitat without the need for further monitoring, at least in the short term. However, it should be noted that:
The passive quenda monitoring (spring 2017) is also likely to opportunistically yield information regarding the presence of wallabies and other macropods within the Conservation Precincts.

Monitoring by JAH environment staff using motion-sensitive cameras and/or other passive methods will occur in the vicinity of newly established points of connectivity with surrounding properties (likely to be via specifically designed wallaby gates) following their installation.

Following completion of stage 3 clearing (as identified in the Offset Plan) and the completion of the associated amended airside fencing program, wallabies will be restricted to Precincts 1A, 1B and 2A. Wallabies displaced by Stage 3 clearing into Precinct 2A will have future access to Acourt Reserve. Prior to the fauna corridor being established, a consultant will be engaged to undertake a wallaby survey, primarily to determine the Precinct 2A baseline population and allow for potential future comparison following establishment of the fauna corridor. The survey methodology will be as close as practicably possible to that employed by Western Wildlife (2015b) and will include Precincts 1A, 1B and 2A. JAH will then liaise with DPAW in order to develop an appropriate management program to ensure that the population remains within the carrying capacity of the habitat, taking into account relevant findings of the collaborative research project that commenced in 2015. This will also serve to ensure that vegetation and associated species within Conservation Precincts, particularly *C. huegelii*, is not adversely affected by the impacts of overgrazing.

In addition, incidents of wallabies in air movement areas where they are deemed a potential risk to aircraft safety are recorded in the Jandakot Airport Safety Management System register as a Wildlife Incident. These incidents are regularly collated and reported within the Annual Environment Report.

Monitoring regimes and survey methods will be further reviewed following the completion of the collaborative research project involving JAH, DPAW, UWA and Murdoch University. If amended monitoring or surveys are warranted, the CMP will be amended accordingly in 2018.

*Thresholds for Triggering Further Management Intervention*

Management for maintenance of Western Brush Wallaby habitat and populations will continue to be primarily through actions relating to other sections of this plan, including:

- Vegetation management (refer Section 4.7), primarily via weed control (Appendix B) and Dieback Control (Appendix C). Key thresholds include maintaining Bushland Condition at levels of “Good” or above, and restricting weed cover to a maximum of 20%.

- Rehabilitation/revegetation of habitats (Appendix D).

- Feral animal control; maintaining or reducing on-site predators or competitors (Appendix F).

- Fencing strategy; to minimise risk of road deaths (Appendix H).

Western Wildlife (2015b) recommended further management actions in light of planned remaining clearing. These are:

- Consider installation of wallaby gates to link Conservation Precincts with neighbouring bushland, taking into consideration the impacts of increased connectivity on other flora and fauna.

- Where wallaby gates are installed, undertake initial monitoring (e.g. use of motion-sensitive cameras) to determine whether they are successful and where possible undertake fox control in the vicinity (noting use of 1080 may be restricted by permit
conditions in the close proximity of the airport boundary, depending on neighbouring land tenure).

- Continue to seek the advice of fauna consultants and DPAW regarding translocation of wallabies.

Thresholds for triggering further management intervention will be reviewed following the completion of the collaborative research project involving JAH, DPAW, Murdoch University and the University of Western Australia and the post-Stage 3 clearing wallaby survey. If amended thresholds are warranted, the CMP will be amended accordingly.

It is anticipated these actions will be adequate to maintain habitat for Western Brush Wallabies within the airport’s Conservation Precincts and no species-specific thresholds for triggering management intervention are warranted at this stage.

If wallabies are found to have breached exclusion fences and entered the air movement areas where they pose a risk to aircraft safety, management actions will be taken in accordance with the overabundant native species section of the Feral Animal Management Plan (Appendix F).

### 6.2.5 Graceful Sun-moth

The Graceful Sun-moth (*Synemon gracios*osa) is a day-flying moth endemic to south-west Western Australia.

Previous surveys at Jandakot Airport reported that while habitat for the moth exists, no individuals had been recorded within the Airport (ENV 2009b). Additional surveys were conducted over four days in March 2011, in conservation zones 1A, 1B, 2 and a runway overshoot area (Western Wildlife 2011c). Graceful Sun-moths were recorded in Conservation Precinct 2. *Banksia* woodland in the conservation zones was identified as Graceful Sun-moth habitat (Figure 10).

When EPBC 2009/4796 approval was granted, the Graceful Sun-moth was listed as Endangered under the EPBC Act. The sun-moth has since been found to occur over a wider distribution and wider host plant range on the Swan Coastal Plan. The sun-moth was removed from the WA threatened fauna list in November 2012 and subsequently removed from the EPBC Act threatened species list in May 2013.

**Monitoring Regimes and Survey Methods**

Given the delisting of the Graceful Sun-moth and the two surveys (consistent with DPAW methods) already undertaken at Jandakot Airport, no further monitoring surveys are required.

**Thresholds for Triggering Further Management Intervention**

No thresholds for triggering management intervention are warranted given the delisting of the Graceful Sun-moth.

### 6.2.6 South-western Cool Skink

The South-western Cool Skink (*Acritoscincus trilineatum*) is considered of local conservation importance, as it is restricted to Jarrah forest and/or Banksia woodland of coastal south-west of Western Australia (Wilson & Swan 2003). This species has been recorded during previous fauna surveys conducted at the site.

Western Wildlife (2011d) were engaged in 2011 to identify potential habitat of this species in the conservation areas and collate existing records. The survey consisted of a review of the relevant literature, including data from previous fauna surveys at the airport.

The South-western Cool Skink is known to occur in bushland at Jandakot Airport, and is likely to be present in areas of Melaleuca woodland associated with low-lying areas. The Banksia woodland may also be used, but is unlikely to be core habitat (Figure 11).
Management recommendations to protect the South-western Cool Skink are:

- Protect areas of *Melaleuca* woodland
- Preserve linkages between patches of *Melaleuca* woodland.

**Monitoring Regimes and Survey Methods**

Western Wildlife (2011d) concluded that no future studies are deemed necessary for this species, as it is likely to be common within areas of suitable habitat.

**Thresholds for Triggering Further Management Intervention**

Management for maintenance of the South-western Cool Skink habitat and populations will be primarily through actions relating to other sections of this plan, including:

- Vegetation management (refer Section 4.7), primarily via weed control (Appendix B) and Dieback Control (Appendix C). Key thresholds include maintaining Bushland Condition at levels of “Good” or above, and restricting weed cover to a maximum of 20%.
- Rehabilitation/revegetation of habitats (Appendix D).
- Feral animal control; maintaining or reducing on-site predators or competitors (Appendix F).
- Fencing strategy; to minimise risk of road deaths (Appendix H).

It is anticipated these actions will be adequate to maintain habitat for the South-western Cool Skink within the airport’s Conservation Precincts and no species-specific thresholds for triggering management intervention are warranted at this stage.

### 6.2.7 *Throscodectes xiphos*

In 2011 Western Wildlife (2011e) were engaged to undertake a literature review of *Throscodectes xiphos* to collate background information on the species and use it to inform recommendation for a potential field study.

The cricket *T. xiphos* is known only from male specimens collected from Cutler Road in Jandakot in April 1981 and is listed as Priority 1 by DPAW on the basis that there is little known about the species.

Any conclusions regarding its distribution, biology and habitat are based on generalisations about the subfamily Tettigoniinae that it belongs to. It is possible that *T. xiphos* occurs in bushland at Jandakot Airport due to the proximity (2 km) from Cutler Road (Figure 12). As other katydids favour heath habitats, *T. xiphos* may potentially occur at the airport in areas of *Banksia* woodland with a heath understorey (Figure 13).

**Monitoring Regimes and Survey Methods**

Any attempted surveys of *T. xiphos* are likely to be costly, highly experimental and with limited chance of success due to following:

- As the call of *T. xiphos* is unknown, it cannot be used as a sampling technique for this species.
- Searching and direct observation may be used but its greyish-brown colour would be difficult to distinguish from the background environment.
- It is not known whether it is nocturnal or diurnal.
- A long-handled invertebrate net may be used to sweep for katydids that are resting on foliage, but this would be ineffective if *T. xiphos* does not rest on foliage.

A study undertaken by Phoenix Environmental Sciences (2010) in the Project Area and surrounding bushland of the proposed Roe Highway extension area targeted *T. xiphos* amongst other invertebrates. Despite utilising various survey methods (large aerial sweep
nets amongst flowering shrubs, pitfall trapping, foraging and night spotting) over different seasons, no native crickets were recorded. As the study area extended to land directly adjoining Jandakot Airport’s northern boundary and the vegetation type is similar to that found at Jandakot Airport, the likelihood of a similar survey finding the species at Jandakot Airport would appear remote.

With members of the Tettigoniidae, collecting the more abundant nymphs in spring and raising them to maturity has been found to be an efficient sampling method (Rentz 2010). Although this method may be suitable for sampling katydid communities, its approach is nonspecific. Potentially, a range of katydid species may be raised, but the targeted species may not be among them. However, the advantage of this method is that it is the most likely to detect the presence of *T. xiphos* at the airport, and it is the method recommended by David Rentz, a katydid specialist (D. Rentz, pers. comm., April 2011). Such a method is still likely to be time consuming and costly, with limited chance of success, and such factors need to be considered in light of the management priorities of other significant species on the airport and the limited resources available with which to manage them.

Given the above, and the fact that there is no existing evidence that establishes a definitive link between *T. xiphos* and Jandakot Airport’s Conservation Precincts, species-specific monitoring regimes and surveys are not warranted. Furthermore, in the unlikely event that *T. xiphos* is present within the Jandakot Airport Conservation Precincts but unable to be located, its presence will continue to be safeguarded due to ongoing management measures to protect its potential habitat.

**Thresholds for Triggering Further Management Intervention**

Given the lack of existing knowledge about the species, management for maintenance of potential *T. xiphos* habitat and populations will be primarily through actions relating to other sections of this plan, including:

- Vegetation management (refer Section 4.7), primarily via weed control (Appendix B) and Dieback Control (Appendix C). Key thresholds include maintaining Bushland Condition at levels of “Good” or above, and restricting weed cover to a maximum of 20%.
- Rehabilitation/revegetation of habitats (Appendix D).
- Feral animal control; maintaining or reducing on-site predators or competitors (Appendix F).
- Fencing strategy; to minimise risk of road deaths (Appendix H).

It is anticipated these actions will be adequate to maintain habitat within the Jandakot Airport Conservation Precincts where *T. xiphos* could potentially occur, and therefore no species-specific thresholds for triggering management intervention are warranted at this stage.

### 6.3 Feral Animals

The Jandakot Airport Feral Animal Management Plan (Appendix F) is a component of the Jandakot Airport Conservation Management Plan. The Feral Animal Management Plan has been reviewed and amended to include objectives and control measures for the protection of native fauna.

### 6.4 Bushfire Management

A Bushfire Management Plan (Appendix G) has been prepared for Jandakot Airport in accordance with the Airport Environment Strategy and forms a component of the Jandakot Airport Conservation Management Plan.
6.5 Fauna Road Crossing and Fencing

To ensure safe and efficient transport links to Jandakot Airport, additional transport links to the Perth Metropolitan Region are essential and therefore included in the approved Master Plan 2014. A Wildlife Fencing and Underpass Strategy (Appendix H), accounting for fauna habitat connectivity has been developed.

When planning for new roads and transport links to the airport, the need for fauna habitat connectivity will be addressed as a component of the road engineering design process. Each road development will be addressed individually on a case-by-case process and is ultimately approved by the Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development.

In situations where mitigation measures (e.g. underpasses and fences) are proposed to reduce the impact of transport corridors, the biology and ecology of the target species will be taken into account to ensure the structures and materials used will be the most effective in preventing such species from entering a road or transport corridor.

The Strategy also references the use of fencing to manage wildlife values in situations other than roads.

It should be noted that factors such as the planned future development of Precincts 7 and 8 by the City of Canning, ongoing management of Ken Hurst Park by the City of Melville, and potential changes in the proposed East Link Road alignment have impacted the original plans to have a continuous wildlife corridor from areas north of the Airport to Jandakot Regional Park in the South.

East Link

An East Link dual carriageway is proposed; however, the final alignment has not been determined. Fauna linkages and fencing will be included in the design of the final approved alignment and constructed accordingly. It is anticipated the East Link will be fenced on both sides to prevent fauna access onto the road itself (thus minimising the number of fauna road deaths) and to protect the conservation areas from unauthorised access by members of the public.

Ken Hurst Park

Ken Hurst Park borders the north of Jandakot Airport, adjoining Conservation Precincts 1A and 1B. The properties are separated by fire breaks and a service road (Leeming Road). This service road is one option being considered for the alignment of the future East Link Road. Should the East Link Road be developed between the properties, fencing and underpass requirements will be considered in the design phase in line with the Wildlife Fencing and Underpass Strategy (Appendix H). Should the East Link Road alignment not impact the boundary, JAH will liaise with the City of Melville to determine if any measures are required to aid wildlife connectivity. The current fencing separating the properties allows for limited movement of quenda, Western Grey Kangaroos and Western Brush Wallabies. Other factors that need to be taken into account when developing future wildlife corridors include:

- Consistent feral animal management
- Security of accessible infrastructure
- Detrimental public access impacts (e.g. rubbish dumping, vandalism, off-road vehicles)
- Detrimental impacts of native fauna species that utilise wildlife corridors on significant fauna populations and endangered flora.
6.6 Future Identification of Flora and Fauna Species

JAH will undertake a biennial review of the EPBC Act species lists to determine whether species known to occur at Jandakot Airport have had a revision of their conservation status. Where necessary, additional survey effort will be incorporated into the schedule to clarify the presence / absence of these species.

7 STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

Stakeholder consultation is recognised as an important component of sound environment management practices.

Jandakot Airport holds regular internal consultation meetings as well as with government departments and other external stakeholders as required. Stakeholder consultation relevant to the Conservation Management Plan is summarised below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Stakeholder Consultation</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERNAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jandakot Airport Holdings Management Committee Meetings</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jandakot Airport Staff Meetings,</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jandakot Airport Safety Management System Meetings</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Environment Officer - Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Building Controller - Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXTERNAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of the Environment (DOE)</td>
<td>As required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Parks and Wildlife WA</td>
<td>As Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jandakot Airport Community Aviation Consultative Group meetings.</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jandakot Regional Parks Community Advisory Committee meetings</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Canning, City of Cockburn and City of Melville</td>
<td>As required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Planning WA</td>
<td>As required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jandakot Airport Neighbouring Residents</td>
<td>As required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As outlined in the National Aviation Policy White Paper, JAH has established a Community Aviation Consultation Group (CACG). The CACG is independently chaired and includes community, aviation, local government and state government representatives. Meetings are held quarterly and it has specific terms of reference for consultation which among other things, cover noise and environmental issues associated with the airport.

Consultation with the WA Department of Parks and Wildlife occurs on a regular basis, particularly when expert advice relating to environmental matters (other than matters protected under EPBC) is required in order to manage local and regional issues.

8 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Reporting against actions described in this plan will be included within the Jandakot Airport Annual Environment Report (AER). In line with the Airports (Environmental Protection) Regulations 1996, the AER will be submitted to the Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development by 28th October each year. A copy of the report will be provided to DOE by 28th October each year.
9 REVIEW AND AMENDMENT OF CMP

The Conservation Management Plan (including its Appendices) is a 'live' document and as such will require regular review and amendment in order to meet practical requirements on site as changing circumstances demand.

Where amendments are unlikely to have a material impact on matters protected under the EPBC Act or the intent of EPBC 2009/4796 conditions of approval, copies of the amended plan, including appropriate rationale and justification for each amendment, will be provided to DOE and DIRD. If DOE deem it necessary, the amended plan will be elevated for the Minister’s approval.

Where amendments to the Conservation Management Plan impact matters protected under the EPBC Act or are deemed not to be in accordance with that approved by the Minister (ref Conditions 6 and 12 of EPBC 2009/4796 approval), the amended Plan will be submitted to DOE for review and approval by the Minister.

The CMP will undergo a comprehensive review every 5 years. This is designed to coincide with the revision of the Jandakot Airport Master Plan and Environment Strategy. The next comprehensive review will be undertaken in 2018 prior to the completion of Master Plan 2019.
10 SUMMARY OF ACTIONS

The Table below contains a list of summary actions relating to the Conservation Management Plan. Note that actions specific to sub-plans (e.g. Weed Management Plan) are contained within the relevant Appendix.

<p>| Table 2. Conservation Management Plan Summary of Actions. |  |
|---|---|---|---|
| <strong>Action</strong> | <strong>Responsibility</strong> | <strong>Timing</strong> |
| <strong>Native Vegetation Management</strong> |  |
| CMP1  | Undertake Bushland Condition Survey and update Bushland Condition mapping every 5 years. | JAH EM | End of 2016 |
| CMP2  | Update Bushland Condition mapping if significant unexpected detrimental changes are noted in annual weed quadrat surveys or triennial dieback assessments. | JAH EM | Within 12 months of the impact being reported. |
| CMP3  | Develop a site-specific revegetation plan for areas identified as requiring revegetation utilising the Rehabilitation and Revegetation Guidelines. | JAH EM | Prior to undertaking any revegetation. |
| CMP4  | Implement site-specific revegetation plan developed under CMP3. | JAH EM | As detailed in site-specific revegetation plan. |
| CMP5  | Review Rehabilitation and Revegetation Guidelines. | JAH EM | End of 2018 |
| <strong>Orchid Management</strong> |  |
| CMP6  | Liaise with BGPA or other orchid expert, if Drakaea elastica is identified on site, to determine the most appropriate ongoing management and monitoring requirements. | JAH EM | Begin consultation with 1 month of plants being identified. |
| CMP7  | Update CMP if D. elastica is identified on site. | JAH EM | Within 12 months of plants being identified. |
| CMP8  | Review Section 5 of CMP to include updated thresholds and management requirements identified as relevant to Jandakot Airport as a result of the final Quadrat Monitoring Report (if required). | JAH EM | Within 6 months of the 2015 (final) Quadrat Monitoring Report being finalised. |
| CMP9  | Monitor orchids with motion-sensitive cameras in an attempt to determine which herbivores are responsible for the grazing impacts on C. huegelii. | JAH EM | 2016 |
| CMP10 | Undertake annual monitoring of translocated orchids. | JAH EM | Annually until 2018 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMP11</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>Spring, annually from 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP12</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>At intervals no greater than every 5 years with the next survey (including mapping) to be completed before the end of 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP13</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>At all times.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP14</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>At all times.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP15</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>At all times unless advice provided by orchid experts indicating action would not be detrimental to the population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP16</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>When the need for planting/rehabilitation is identified. Precinct 1A boundary planting to be completed in Winter 2017.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP17</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>Annually if requirement for seed is confirmed by BGPA or relevant research institution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP18</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>If triggered, prior to the finalisation of the 2018 CMP review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP19</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>If applicable, prior to road construction works commencing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP20</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>If applicable, prior to road construction works commencing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 2. Conservation Management Plan Summary of Actions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Action</strong></td>
<td><strong>Responsibility</strong></td>
<td><strong>Timing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauna Management – Carnaby’s Black-Cockatoo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP21 Participate in the Annual Great Cocky Count survey.</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauna Management – Quenda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP22 Undertake passive quenda monitoring within the Conservation Precincts.</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP23 Consult with fauna experts for advice on management actions if passive monitoring reveals the absence of quenda within Conservation Precincts.</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP24 Capture and relocate quenda from large bushland areas prior to undertaking approved clearing activities.</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>Prior to clearing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauna Management – Western Brush Wallaby</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP25 Undertake a Wallaby Survey in Conservation Precincts 1A, 1B &amp; 2A.</td>
<td>JAH-EM</td>
<td>Within 6 months of the completion of Stage 3 clearing and the erection of new airside fencing surrounding Precinct 2A but before establishing a fauna corridor between Precinct 2A and Jandakot Regional Park Acourt Reserve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP26 Establish a fauna corridor/connectivity for wallabies between Precinct 2A and Jandakot Regional Park Acourt Reserve.</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>Following the completion of Stage 3 clearing (as identified in the Offset Plan) and the erection of new airside fencing surrounding Precinct 2A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP27 Monitor established fauna corridor between Precinct 2A and Jandakot Regional Park Acourt Reserve.</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>Upon establishment of the corridor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP28 Review and report on trends associated with aircraft safety wildlife incidents within AER.</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP29 Obtain Dangerous Fauna or Damage Permits/Licenses from DPAW.</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
<td>Prior to undertaking actions requiring permits/licenses.*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Except in the event that it has been justified and documented that failure to take immediate action has a high probability of resulting in a catastrophic event that impacts air safety/human lives.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fauna Road Crossing and Fencing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP30</td>
<td>Include fauna linkages and fencing within the design of the East Link Road consistent with the Wildlife Fencing and Underpass Strategy.</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP31</td>
<td>Liaise with City of Melville to determine if any measures are required to manage wildlife connectivity between Ken Hurst Park and Precincts 1A and 1B.</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Identification of Flora and Fauna Species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP32</td>
<td>Review the EPBC Act species lists to determine whether species known to occur at Jandakot Airport have had a revision of their conservation status.</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder Consultation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP33</td>
<td>Report on Stakeholder Consultation with JAH AER.</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting Requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP34</td>
<td>Report against actions of the CMP within the Jandakot Airport Annual Environment Report (AER) and provide copies to DIRD and DOE.</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review and Amendment of CMP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP35</td>
<td>Undertake a full comprehensive review and amendment of CMP.</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP36</td>
<td>Amend CMP to include updated significant fauna management actions or thresholds for triggering management intervention (for Cockatoos, quenda and Western Brush Wallaby) if the findings of ongoing research/surveys identify relevant species-specific actions/thresholds that should be applied at Jandakot Airport.</td>
<td>JAH EM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Refer to Appendices for additional sub-plan actions relating to the CMP.
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### GLOSSARY.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AER</td>
<td>Annual Environment Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGPA</td>
<td>Botanic Gardens and Parks Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACG</td>
<td>Community Aviation Consultation Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALM</td>
<td>Department of Conservation and Land Management (now known as DPAW/DER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP</td>
<td>Conservation Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC</td>
<td>Department of Environment and Conservation (formerly CALM). On 1 July 2013 the Department of Environment and Conservation separated into two agencies, the Department of Parks and Wildlife (DPAW) and the Department of Environment Regulation (DER).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DER</td>
<td>Department of Environment Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEWHA</td>
<td>Department of Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (now DOE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRD</td>
<td>Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development (previously DIT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIT</td>
<td>Department of Infrastructure and Transport (now DIRD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOE</td>
<td>Department of the Environment (previously DEWHA and DSEWPaC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPAW</td>
<td>Department of Parks and Wildlife (formerly DEC).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSEWPaC</td>
<td>Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (previously DEWHA and now DOE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS</td>
<td>Environmental Management System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPBC</td>
<td>Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAH</td>
<td>Jandakot Airport Holdings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NES</td>
<td>National Environmental Significance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWA</td>
<td>University of Western Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC Act</td>
<td>Wildlife Conservation Act</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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